Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/649,120	LAURENT ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Únit	
	Kristie Shingles	2141	
All Participants:	nts: Status of Application: <u>ALLOWED</u>		
(1) Kristie Shingles, Examiner.	(3)		
(2) Chris Tobin, Atty. 40,290.	(4)	* .	
Date of Interview: 2 March 2007	Time: <u>12:00pm</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appli Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed:			
Prior art documents discussed: n/a			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	S DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summ 	the examiner will provide a writt e record of the substance of the	e interview, since the interview	
·			
	•		
In Mind	,		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica	nt/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Atty for authorization to perform an Examiner's Amendment to correct the claim language of claim 90 to depend on claim 70 instead of 68. Atty agreed with this change in claim dependency and authorized Examiner to amend accordingly.